

~~SECRET~~

R 122156Z

FM NPIC WASHDC

1965 JUL 12 23 01Z

OUT56162

TO RUCDSQ/FTD WPAFB DAYTON OHIO

BT

SECRET CITE NPIC 4942

Ref TDDPA 22165 JUNE 65 (IN 6994)

1. IN ANSWER TO FTD CABLE DATED 25 JUNE 1965, IT IS FELT THAT THE CONTEXT OF THE MESSAGE DID NOT ACCURATELY REPRESENT THE AGREEMENT ESTABLISHED AT THE 17 JUNE 1965 JOINT MEETING BETWEEN FTD, ARMY AND NPIC REPRESENTATIVES. IT IS APPARENT THAT A MISUNDERSTANDING EXISTS AND IT IS HOPED THAT THE FOLLOWING EXPLANATION WILL CLARIFY THIS SUBJECT.

2. AT THE TIME OF THE 17 JUNE 1965 MEETING, NPIC HAD COMPLETED A PRELIMINARY MENSURAL ANALYSIS OF THE SCAMP, SAVAGE AND SCRAG MISSILES. PRIOR TO THIS MEETING, AND IN ANSWER TO A REQUEST FROM THE PROJECT CHAIRMAN, COPIES OF SAVAGE AND SCRAG PRELIMINARY DIMENSIONAL DATA AND DRAWINGS HAD BEEN TURNED OVER TO THE PROJECT CHAIRMAN ON 11 JUNE 1965. AT THAT TIME, THIS WORK WAS IDENTIFIED AS PRELIMINARY MENSURAL ANALYSIS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND FURTHER REFINEMENT. THIS SAME PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS, PLUS THE SCAMP, WERE PRESENTED AT THE JOINT MEETING AND COMPARED WITH THE FTD AND THE ARMY MENSURAL RESULTS. THE COMPARISON INDICATED A CLOSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NPIC AND FTD RESULTS WITH ONLY MINOR DIMENSIONAL AND IMAGE INTERPRETATION DISAGREEMENTS IN SEVERAL AREAS. WE WERE PLEASED WITH THIS CLOSE AGREEMENT. THIS CLOSE AGREEMENT

13 JUL 1965

RECEIVED

Office	Act.
File	
OS	
ADMIN	
SEC BR	
P&DS	
CSO	
IPD	
PD	
PSD	
PSD-ICB	
IID	
PID	
PAG	
DIAXX-4	
SPAD	
NSA-LO	
DIA-AP	

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

RESULTED IN PART FROM THE EXCELLENT COOPERATION THE FTD PERSONNEL GAVE TO NPIC REPRESENTATIVES DURING THE INITIAL MENSURAL ANALYSIS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE PARADE. A SIMILAR COMPARISON BETWEEN NPIC AND FTD WITH THE ARMY DIMENSIONAL RESULTS INDICATED SOME MAJOR PROBLEM AREAS. AT THIS TIME THE NPIC REPRESENTATIVE STATED THAT FTD AND NPIC WERE ESSENTIALLY IN AGREEMENT BUT IT WAS POINTED OUT TO FTD PERSONNEL THAT THERE EXISTED MINOR PROBLEM AREAS. THESE MINOR DIFFERENCES WITH FTD, PLUS THE DIFFERENCES WITH THE ARMY, COULD NOT BE SOLVED IN THE ONE DAY THAT THE NPIC PERSONNEL HAD AVAILABLE TO THEM. THE NPIC REPRESENTATIVES LEFT THE JOINT MEETING WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT SINCE FTD AND NPIC WERE IN CLOSE AGREEMENT THAT NO MAJOR DIMENSIONAL PROBLEMS EXISTED BETWEEN THE TWO INTELLIGENCE ORGANIZATIONS. NPIC DID NOT VERBALLY AGREE TO ACCEPT FTD RESULTS AS BEING 100 PER CENT DIMENSIONALLY AND GRAPHICALLY CORRECT NOR DID NPIC CLAIM THAT ITS DIMENSIONAL AND GRAPHICAL RESULTS WERE THE ONES AGREED UPON AT THE JOINT MEETING AS BEING CORRECT. ALSO NPIC REPRESENTATIVES WOULD NOT HAVE VOICED AN OBJECTION IF THE GMAIC COMMITTEE HAD USED FTD'S RESULTS IN THEIR MISSILE EVALUATION SINCE FTD AND NPIC WERE IN CLOSE DIMENSIONAL AGREEMENT.

3. HOWEVER, IF AN ABSOLUTE SOLIDARITY OF DIMENSIONAL AND GRAPHICAL DATA MUST BE ENFORCED IN THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY THAN AN EXTENDED JOINT MEETING OF ALL CONCERNED ORGANIZATIONS MUST BE HELD TO WORK OUT THE EXISTING MINOR PROBLEMS.

S E C R E T

/END OF MESSAGE/